

Session 1 - Summary of definitions

1. Formal Preliminaries: Formal Language, Truth at a World, and Logical Consequence

Definition 1 (Language \mathcal{L}). \surd

A formal language \mathcal{L} is defined as follows. Let Φ be a (countable) set of objects called “propositional variables”, that is $\Phi := \{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots\}$. Then, we define \mathcal{L} via induction as follows.

1. Base: $\Phi \subseteq \mathcal{L}$.
2. Step: For all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{L}$:
 1. If $\phi \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\neg\phi \in \mathcal{L}$;
 2. If $\phi \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{L}$ then $\phi \wedge \psi \in \mathcal{L}$;
 3. If $\phi \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{L}$ then $\phi \vee \psi \in \mathcal{L}$;
 4. If $\phi \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{L}$ then $\phi \rightarrow \psi \in \mathcal{L}$.
3. Nothing else is in \mathcal{L} .

Definition 2 (Interpretations and Truth (\models)). \surd

Let \mathcal{L} be given. A possible world (or interpretation) w is a function $w : \Phi \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ that assigns a truth value to each propositional variable $p \in \Phi$. Let W be the set of **all** possible worlds.

We define the satisfaction relation $w \models \phi$ (read as “ ϕ is true at w ”) via induction on the structure of ϕ :

1. Base: For all $p \in \Phi$, $w \models p \iff w(p) = 1$.
2. Step: For all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{L}$:
 1. $w \models \neg\phi \iff w \not\models \phi$;
 2. $w \models \phi \wedge \psi \iff w \models \phi$ and $w \models \psi$.

2. Properties of Logical Consequence Relations and Operators

2.1. Logical Consequence

Definition 3 (Logical Consequence (\vdash)). \surd

Let $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{L}$ and W be given. We say that ψ follows logically from ϕ , $\phi \vdash \psi$, iff the latter is true at all the worlds w that make the former true. In symbols:

$$\phi \vdash \psi \quad : \iff \quad \text{For all } w \in W : w \models \phi \implies w \models \psi$$

Definition 4 (Consequence Operator C_n). \surd

Let \vdash be given. We define the consequence operator C_n (of \vdash) as a function from sets of sentences $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ to sets of sentences $B \subseteq \mathcal{L}$:

$$C_n(A) := \{\phi \in \mathcal{L} : A \vdash \phi\}$$

N.b., C_n is a function from $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L})$ to $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L})$.

Definition 5 (Belief Sets). \surd

Let B be a subset of \mathcal{L} . B is a belief set if, and only if, the following equivalence holds:

$$B = C_n(B)$$

Let us call \mathbf{B} the set of all belief sets.

2.2. Properties of Logical Consequence Relation and Operator

Proposition 6. \surd

Let \vdash be the consequence relation defined in [Definition 3 \(Logical Consequence \(\$\vdash\$ \)\)](#). \vdash is

1. **Reflexive:** For all $\gamma \in \Gamma : \Gamma \triangleright \gamma$
2. **Transitive:** If $\Gamma \triangleright \delta$ for all $\delta \in \Delta$, and $\Delta \triangleright \phi \implies \Gamma \triangleright \phi$.
3. **Monotonic:** If $\Gamma \triangleright \phi$ and $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta \implies \Delta \triangleright \phi$.

Proposition 7. \surd

Let \vdash be the consequence relation defined in [Definition 3 \(Logical Consequence \(\$\vdash\$ \)\)](#), and C_n the consequence operator defined in [Definition 4 \(Consequence Operator \$C_n\$ \)](#), based on \vdash . C_n satisfies the following properties:

1. **Reflexivity:** For all $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, $\Gamma \subseteq C_n(\Gamma)$.
2. **Transitivity:** For all $\Gamma, \Delta \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, if $\Delta \subseteq C_n(\Gamma)$ then $C_n(\Delta) \subseteq C_n(\Gamma)$.
3. **Monotonicity:** For all $\Gamma, \Delta \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, if $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ then $C_n(\Delta) \subseteq C_n(\Gamma)$.
4. **Idempotence:** For all $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, $C_n(C_n(\Gamma)) = C_n(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 8. \surd

Let \vdash be the consequence relation defined in [Definition 3 \(Logical Consequence \(\$\vdash\$ \)\)](#). The following properties hold for \vdash .

1. **Compactness:** $\Gamma \vdash \phi \implies \exists \Gamma_{fin} \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $\Gamma_{fin} \vdash \phi$, where Γ_{fin} is a finite subset of Γ .
2. **Deduction Theorem:** If $\Gamma \cup \{\phi\} \vdash \psi$, then $\Gamma \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi$.
3. **Disjunction in the Premises:** If $\Gamma \cup \{\phi_1\} \vdash \psi$ and $\Gamma \cup \{\phi_2\} \vdash \psi$, then $\Gamma \cup \{\phi_1 \vee \phi_2\} \vdash \psi$.

3. Belief Revision Theory

Let $B \in \mathbf{B}$ be any belief set, $\phi \in \mathcal{L}$ be any sentence, and $*$: $\mathbf{B} \times \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ a candidate revision function. $*$ is a (AGM) **(basic) belief revision function** iff it satisfies the following postulates:

$$B * \phi \text{ is a belief set} \quad (\text{Closure})$$

$$\phi \in B * \phi \quad (\text{Success})$$

$$\text{If } \phi \not\vdash \perp, \text{ then } Cn(B * \phi) \neq \mathcal{L} \quad (\text{Consistency})$$

$$B * \phi \subseteq Cn(B \cup \{\phi\}) \quad (\text{Inclusion})$$

$$\text{If } B \not\vdash \neg\phi, \text{ then } B * \phi = Cn(B \cup \phi) \quad (\text{Vacuity})$$

$$\text{If } Cn(\{\phi\}) = Cn(\{\psi\}), \text{ then } B * \phi = B * \psi \quad (\text{Congruence})$$

$*$ is a **belief revision function** iff it satisfies also the following postulates

$$B * (\phi \wedge \psi) \subseteq (B * \phi) + \psi \quad (\text{Superexpansion})$$

$$\text{If } B * \phi \not\vdash \neg\psi, \text{ then } (B * \phi) + \psi \subseteq B * (\phi \wedge \psi) \quad (\text{Subexpansion})$$